**Post-mortem Report**

During the post-mortem for our Project I (Concept Development, Requirements and Proposal), we discussed about the positives and negatives in Project 1 and proposed solutions and improvement suggestions for raised issues. The discussion went as the following:

1. First the moderator asked all team members to write about happy & crappy (positives and negatives) about this project on index cards.
2. Moderator read off each point from the index cards and let team members discuss about each card: providing more information, adding comments, and raising questions and issues.
3. For each issue/question raised, we then discussed and proposed solutions for future projects.

The positives (combined from all index cards):

* Good brainstorming session – had several viable ideas to choose from
* Our idea is interesting and exciting for all of us.
* During extensive research on other plant apps, we found positives and negatives about each product and thus gain knowledge and confidence about our own product.
* Considered various types of users
* Getting good/new suggestions from the elicitation.
* Pretty solid grasp of what we want our product to do
* Getting everything turned in in time.
* Having a group that’s easy to work with. Everybody contributed equally and worked hard.
* Excellent team name ☺
* We learnt about how to plan a project and how to actually turn an idea into an executable project.
* Learnt how to use github, how to do competitive research and elicitation.

The negatives (combined from all index cards):

* Didn’t plan very well for elicitation session: only ended up with two interviewees from the same category (no botanist or plant expert)
* May have too many features
* Having to cut down on our cool ideas to make our project feasible
* Did not stick to our schedule for each subtasks (internal pre-due dates)
* Did not know how to use github at first
* Not enough communication on drafts. More comments from other team members would be helpful for the primary author.
* Did not come prepared to meetings with a clear agenda about what exactly we had to do and how to do them.

Proposed solutions and improvements suggestions:

* Should have planned ahead of time and get out of our comfort zone to find interviewees other than students.
* Additional individual interviews with users from other categories
* Enforce strict internal deadlines and leave more time before the actual due dates for team member to comment and edit the drafts.
* All team members should read through the deliverables and requirements for future assignments and the primary author will drive the discussion and collaboration.